

Taming the Lint Monster

A personal perspective of the PC-Lint code analysis tool, and how to use it effectively



Anna-Jayne Metcalfe
Riverblade Limited

<http://www.riverblade.co.uk>

Riverblade

An All Too Common Story



What Can We Learn From This?

- Very few teams seem to use code analysis tools effectively
- There is a credibility gap - especially. among “the 80%”:
 - Perceptions such as “too hard to set up”, “too much noise” “bad news” or “telling us how to do our job” can be hard to shift
- Nevertheless, analysis tools can uncover real problems in a codebase
- ...if you invest the time to learn how to use them *effectively*

So What is PC-Lint, Anyway?

- C/C++ code analysis tool first introduced in 1985
- Available in both Windows (PC-Lint) and Unix/Linux (Flexelint) variants
- Command line only
- Extremely thorough and very flexible
- Can be difficult to set up correctly
- Analysis runs can be (very) slow on large projects

Example Analysis Results

```
--- Module: CJFlatHeaderCtrl.cpp
```

```
}
```

```
CJFlatHeaderCtrl.cpp(160): error 1401:
```

```
    (Warning -- member 'CCJFlatHeaderCtrl::m_bSortAsc'  
(line 146, file ..\Include\CJFlatHeaderCtrl.h) not initialized by constructor)
```

```
}
```

```
CJFlatHeaderCtrl.cpp(166): error 1740:
```

```
    (Info -- pointer member 'CCJFlatHeaderCtrl::m_pParentWnd'  
(line 150, file ..\Include\CJFlatHeaderCtrl.h)
```

```
    not directly freed or zero'ed by destructor
```

```
-- Effective C++ #6)
```



Online PC-Lint Demonstrator

<http://www.gimpel-online.com/OnlineTesting.html>

and

<http://www.gimpel-online.com/bugsLinkPage.html>



A Few PC-Lint Capabilities

- Detection of dangling/uninitialised pointers
- Variable initialisation/value tracking
- Variable scoping
- Type mismatches and dodgy casts
- Assignment operator/copy constructor checking
- Detection of potential memory leaks
- Analysis of thread behaviour (new to PC-Lint 9.0)
- MISRA C/C++ rule validation

Other C/C++ Static Analysis Tools

- Splint (C only, but free)
- PreFAST
- QA C++
- Klockwork Insight
- Coverity
- Parasoft
- etc...

Be Prepared!

- The first time you analyse a codebase, expect both difficulties and surprises
 - Configuration issues
 - Lots of unwelcome (at least initially) “noise”
 - Potentially bad news in places you really don’t want to look
- Management and co-workers may not want to know

A (Very) Simple PC-Lint Command Line

```
lint-nt std.lnt filea.cpp
```

- Single file (“unit checkout”) analysis
- But what is “std.lnt”?



The Global “Indirect file” std.Int

- Conventionally holds the “global” PC-Lint configuration
 - High level configuration options
 - Global include folder specification
 - References to other indirect files (compiler options, warning policy etc.)
- Include folder specifications can be machine dependent
- Std.Int does not usually define the full warning policy

Warning Policy: options.Int

- Defines specific messages to globally enable/disable
 - std.Int actually defines the high level policy, but options.Int adjusts it to your needs
- Usually comprised of just a list of **-e** directives

Basic IDE Integration

- Conventionally via custom tools within the IDE
- Analysis results sent to the output window
- May be blocking, so analysis time can be an issue.
 - Especially in whole project analysis (more on that later)
- Detailed analysis configuration can be an issue
- Results are not usually persistent

A (Not So) Simple PC-Lint Command Line

```
lint-nt.exe -iC:\Lint -background -b --u  
SourceVersioner_vs71_Debug_Win32.lnt -u  
std_vs71.lnt env-vc7.lnt -t4 +ffb  
+linebuf +macrobuf  
-iDebug Shared\FileUtils.cpp
```



Coping with Project Configurations

- For analysis to work effectively, the PC-Lint configuration must match that of the compiler
- Any mismatches will lead to analysis errors
- C++ project configurations can be very complex
- PC-Lint can write suitable configuration (“project.Int”) files for most Visual C++ projects directly
- For other platforms, you are (unfortunately) on your own

What is in a project.Int file?

- Basically a subset of the compiler configuration for lint purposes
 - Preprocessor definitions
 - Additional include folder paths
 - A list of files in the project, relative to the project folder

Whole Project Analysis

```
lint-nt.exe -iC:\Lint -background -b  
std_vs71.lnt env-vc7.lnt -t4 +ffb  
+linebuf -iDebug  
SourceVersioner_vs71_Debug_Win32.lnt
```

- Can identify functions, enums etc. which are not used in that project
- Single threaded, and can be slow on large projects

PC-Lint Message Categories

- Five categories, of varying severity:
 - Elective Notes
 - Informational
 - Warnings
 - Errors
 - Fatal Errors
- Individual categories and messages can be selectively enabled via `-w` and `+e/ -e` options

Common Analysis Failures

- Fatal Error 314: Previously used .Int file
- Fatal Error 307: Can't open indirect file
- Fatal Error 322/Error 7: Unable to open include file
- Error 91: Line exceeds Integer characters (use +linebuf)
- Error 303: String too long (try +macros)

Analysis Speed

- Influenced by CPU/disk speed and project structure
 - Include dependencies can be **very** significant
- PC-Lint 9.0 adds precompiled and bypass headers
 - Can potentially cut analysis time by 3-4 times
- PC-Lint is currently single threaded
 - Adding more cores won't help unless you run multiple analysis tasks simultaneously
 - Single file analysis is amenable to parallelisation

Some issues to look out for

- 429 (Custodial pointer not freed or returned)
- 578 (Declaration of symbol hides another)
- 716 (while(1))
- 717 (do...while(0))
- 777 (Testing floats for equality)
- 795 (Conceivable division by zero)

Some issues to look out for (cont.)

- 801 (Use of goto is deprecated)
- 825 (Control flows into case/default)
- 1506 (Call to virtual function in constructor or destructor)
- 1725 (Class member is a reference)
- 1735 (Virtual function has default parameter)
- 1773 (Attempt to cast away const or volatile)

Tuning Out Issues in Libraries

- Issues in library header files can cause “noise” elsewhere in a project
- These can be dealt with in several ways:
 - Reduce the warning level while including library headers
 - Modify the library to fix it or add lint directives
 - Create an indirect file containing “tuning” directives (e.g. `-etype(1746, boost::shared_ptr<*>)`)

Turning Down the Volume

- How can I cope with this deluge of analysis results?
 - (the “noise” issue again)
- Define your initial warning policy carefully
 - Either start with a reasonably relaxed warning policy and gradually make it more aggressive, *or*:
 - Start with an aggressive policy and carefully analyse the results to determine which ones you don't care about

Tools/Techniques Which May Help

- Aloa
- LintProject
- Grep
- XSLT transformations highlighting issues you care about (and potentially ignoring the ones you don't)
- SourceMonitor (or other complexity measuring tools)
 - If you code is “noisy”, you probably have architectural issues too

Summary

- Analysis tools such as PC-Lint can uncover real problems in your codebase
- There is no “Quick Fix” for poor code quality
- Be prepared to invest significant time (at least at first) in:
 - Configuring the tool to work well with your codebase
 - Developing your warning policy
 - Interpreting analysis results
- Consider also analysing complexity and design



Any (more) questions?

Taming the Lint Monster

A personal perspective of the PC-Lint code analysis tool, and how to use it effectively



Anna-Jayne Metcalfe
Riverblade Limited

<http://www.riverblade.co.uk>

Riverblade